# **Complete Summary**

#### TITLE

Melanoma: percentage of patients with a new diagnosis of melanoma or a history of melanoma who received all of the following aspects of care within the 12 month reporting period: (1) patient was asked about new and changing moles AND (2) patient received a complete physical skin examination AND (3) patient was counseled to perform a monthly self skin examination.

# SOURCE(S)

American Academy of Dermatology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Melanoma II physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association, National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2007 Oct. 23 p. [5 references]

## **Measure Domain**

## **PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN**

**Process** 

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the <u>Measure Validity</u> page.

#### SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Does not apply to this measure

## **Brief Abstract**

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This measure is used to assess the percentage of patients with a new diagnosis of melanoma or a history of melanoma who received all of the following aspects of care within the 12 month reporting period: (1) Patient was asked about new and changing moles AND (2) Patient received a complete physical skin examination AND (3) Patient was counseled to perform a monthly self skin examination.

#### **RATIONALE**

Early detection of an additional or secondary primary melanoma is an important goal of follow-up care. The majority of additional primary melanomas are

discovered by the patient or family member. Educating patients to perform self-examinations will lead to earlier detection of secondary primary sites of melanoma. Only 60% of physicians routinely perform full-body examinations with their high-risk patients.\*

\*The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted <u>verbatim</u> from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:

Skin examination and surveillance at least once a year for life is recommended for all melanoma patients, including those with stage 0 in situ-melanoma. Frequency of dermatologic surveillance should be determined individually, based on risk factors, including skin type, family history, presence of dysplastic nevi, and history of non-melanoma skin cancers. Clinicians should also consider educating patients about monthly self-exam of their skin and lymph nodes. (National Comprehensive Cancer Network [NCCN])

For patients with stage IA melanoma, a comprehensive H&P (with specific emphasis on the regional nodes and skin) should be performed every 3 to 12 months as clinically indicated. For patients with stage IB-III melanomas, a comprehensive H&P (with emphasis on the regional nodes and skin) should be performed every 3 to 6 months for 3 years; then every 4 to 12 months for 2 years; and annually (at least) thereafter, as clinically indicated. (NCCN)

A structured follow-up program could permit the earlier detection of recurrent disease at a time when it might be more amenable to potentially curative surgical resection. This follow-up would be particularly appropriate for patients at risk for regional nodal recurrence who have not yet undergone sentinel node biopsy or elective lymph node dissection. (NCCN)

All patients should be taught self-examination because many recurrences are found by patients themselves at home rather than by clinicians in the clinic. (British Association of Dermatologists [BAD])

## PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Melanoma; cutaneous melanoma; medical history; moles; complete physical skin examination; self-skin examination

#### **DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION**

All patients with a new diagnosis of melanoma or a history of melanoma (see the related "Denominator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

# **NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION**

Patients who received all of the following aspects of care at least once within the 12 month reporting period: (1) Patient was asked specifically if he/she had any new or changing moles AND (2) A complete physical skin examination was performed and the morphology, size, and location of new or changing pigmented lesions were noted AND (3) patient was counseled to perform a monthly self skin examination (see the related "Numerator Inclusions/Exclusions" field in the Complete Summary)

**Evidence Supporting the Measure** 

## **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY**

 A clinical practice guideline or other peer-reviewed synthesis of the clinical evidence

# **Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure**

## **NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Use of this measure to improve performance

## **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE**

Geller AC, O'Riordan DL, Oliveria SA, Valvo S, Teich M, Halpern AC. Overcoming obstacles to skin cancer examinations and prevention counseling for high-risk patients: results of a national survey of primary care physicians. J Am Board Fam Pract2004 Nov-Dec;17(6):416-23. PubMed

# **State of Use of the Measure**

#### **STATE OF USE**

Current routine use

## **CURRENT USE**

Internal quality improvement

# **Application of Measure in its Current Use**

## **CARE SETTING**

Ambulatory Care Physician Group Practices/Clinics

#### PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Physicians

## **LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED**

Individual Clinicians

# **TARGET POPULATION AGE**

Patients of all ages are included in this measure

# **TARGET POPULATION GENDER**

Either male or female

# STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

# **Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component**

# INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

# **ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS**

Unspecified

# **BURDEN OF ILLNESS**

Unspecified

# **UTILIZATION**

Unspecified

# **COSTS**

Unspecified

# **Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories**

# **IOM CARE NEED**

Living with Illness

# **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

# **Data Collection for the Measure**

# **CASE FINDING**

Users of care only

# **DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING**

All patients with a new diagnosis of melanoma or a history of melanoma

## **DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME**

Patients associated with provider

# **DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

#### **Inclusions**

All patients with a new diagnosis of melanoma or a history of cutaneous melanoma

Refer to the original measure documentation for administrative codes.

#### **Exclusions**

Documentation of system reason(s) for not performing the follow-up services (e.g., another physician performed the service)

#### RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

# **DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT**

Clinical Condition Encounter

#### **DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW**

Time window is a single point in time

## **NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS**

## **Inclusions**

Patients who received all of the following aspects of care at least once within the 12 month reporting period: (1) Patient was asked specifically if he/she had any new or changing moles AND (2) A complete physical skin examination\* was performed and the morphology, size, and location of new or changing pigmented lesions were noted AND (3) patient was counseled to perform a monthly self skin examination

Refer to the original measure documentation for administrative codes.

## **Exclusions**

None

# MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

<sup>\*</sup>A complete physical skin examination includes: head (including the face), neck, chest (including the axillae), abdomen, back, and extremities. The genitalia (including the groin and buttocks) may also be examined (optional).

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

# **NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW**

Fixed time period

# **DATA SOURCE**

Administrative data Medical record

# LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Individual Case

# **PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED**

Unspecified

# **Computation of the Measure**

# **SCORING**

Rate

#### **INTERPRETATION OF SCORE**

Better quality is associated with a higher score

## **ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS**

Unspecified

## STANDARD OF COMPARISON

Internal time comparison

# **Evaluation of Measure Properties**

# **EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING**

Unspecified

# **Identifying Information**

# **ORIGINAL TITLE**

Measure #1: melanoma follow-up measures.

## **MEASURE COLLECTION**

The Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Measurement Sets

#### **MEASURE SET NAME**

Melanoma Physician Performance Measurement Set

#### **SUBMITTER**

American Medical Association on behalf of the American Academy of Dermatology, the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, and the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)

#### DEVELOPER

American Academy of Dermatology National Committee for Quality Assurance Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®

# **FUNDING SOURCE(S)**

Unspecified

## **COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP THAT DEVELOPED THE MEASURE**

Dirk Elston, MD (*Co-Chair*); Raj Behal, MD, MPH (*Co-Chair*); Steven D. Bines, MD; Peter Dandalides, MD; Evan R. Farmer, MD; Rutledge Fourney, MD; Andrea Gelzer, MD, MS, FACP; Robert T. Gilson, MD; Stephen E. Helms, MD; Abrar Qureshi, MD; Todd Schlessinger, MD; John Schneider, MD, PhD; Arthur Joel Sober, MD; Steven W. Strode, MD, MEd, MPH; Janet (Jessie) Sullivan, MD; William Wooden, MD

Sandra Peters, MHA, American Academy of Dermatology; Carol Sieck, RN, MSN, American Academy of Dermatology

Joseph Gave, MPH, American Medical Association; Kendra Hanley, MS, CHE, American Medical Association; Erin O. Kaleba, MPH, American Medical Association; Karen S. Kmetik, PhD, American Medical Association

Phil Renner, MBA, National Committee for Quality Assurance

Susan Nedza, MD, MBA, FACEP, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; Sylvia Publ, MBA, RHIA, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Rebecca A. Kresowik, Facilitator; Timothy F. Kresowik, MD, Facilitator

# FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts, if any, are disclosed in accordance with the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® conflict of interest policy.

## **INCLUDED IN**

Ambulatory Care Quality Alliance

#### **ADAPTATION**

Measure was not adapted from another source.

#### **RELEASE DATE**

2007 Oct

## **MEASURE STATUS**

This is the current release of the measure.

# SOURCE(S)

American Academy of Dermatology, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement®, National Committee for Quality Assurance. Melanoma II physician performance measurement set. Chicago (IL): American Medical Association, National Committee for Quality Assurance; 2007 Oct. 23 p. [5 references]

## **MEASURE AVAILABILITY**

The individual measure, "Measure #1: Melanoma Follow-Up Measures," is published in the "Melanoma II Physician Performance Measurement Set." This document and technical specifications are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the American Medical Association (AMA)-convened Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® Web site: www.physicianconsortium.org.

For further information, please contact AMA staff by e-mail at <a href="mailto:cqi@ama-assn.org">cqi@ama-assn.org</a>.

## **NQMC STATUS**

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on October 2, 2007. The information was verified by the measure developer on November 21, 2007.

## **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

- © Measures including specifications
- © 2007 American Medical Association and National Committee for Quality Assurance

## Disclaimer

# **NQMC DISCLAIMER**

The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse™ (NQMC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the measures represented on this site.

All measures summarized by NQMC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public and private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, individuals, and similar entities.

Measures represented on the NQMC Web site are submitted by measure developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NQMC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at

http://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NQMC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or its reliability and/or validity of the quality measures and related materials represented on this site. The inclusion or hosting of measures in NQMC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding measure content are directed to contact the measure developer.

© 2008 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/3/2008

